Putting a number on it: The risk from an exposure to HIV

By James Wilton

Service providers working in HIV prevention are often asked by their patients and clients about the risk of HIV transmission from an exposure to HIV through sex. What do the latest studies tell us about this risk? And how should we interpret and communicate the results?

Challenges in calculating a number

It isn’t easy for researchers to calculate the risk of transmission from an exposure to HIV through sex. To do this effectively, a group of HIV-negative individuals need to be followed over time and their exposures to HIV—both the number of times they are exposed and the types of exposure—need to be tracked.

As you can imagine, accurately tracking the number of times a person is exposed to HIV is very difficult. Researchers ask HIV-negative individuals enrolled in these studies to report how many times they have had sex in a given period of time, what type of sex they had, how often they used condoms and the HIV status of their partner(s). Because a person may have trouble remembering their sexual behaviour or may not want to tell the whole truth, this reporting is often inaccurate.

Furthermore, a person does not always know the HIV status of their partner(s). For this reason, researchers usually enroll HIV-negative individuals who are in stable relationships with an HIV-positive partner (also known as serodiscordant couples). Researchers can then conclude that any unprotected sex reported by a study participant counts as an exposure to HIV.

Several studies have aimed to estimate the average risk of HIV transmission from a specific type of unprotected sex (for example, vaginal/anal/oral; insertive/receptive). Due to the difficulties of calculating this risk, these studies have produced a wide range of numbers. To come up with a more accurate estimate for each type of unprotected sex, some researchers have combined the results of individual studies into what is known as a meta-analysis.

All exposures are not equal

The results of several meta-analyses suggest that some types of sex carry on average a higher risk of HIV transmission than others. Below are estimates from meta-analyses that have combined the results of studies conducted in high-income countries. For types of sex where meta-analysis estimates do not exist, numbers from individual studies are provided.

Anal sex

A meta-analysis exploring the risk of HIV transmission through unprotected anal sex was published in 2010. The analysis, based on the results of four studies, estimated the risk through receptive anal sex (receiving the penis into the anus, also known as bottoming) to be 1.4%. (This means that an average of one transmission
occurred for every 71 exposures.) This risk was similar regardless of whether the receptive partner was a man or woman.

No meta-analysis estimates currently exist for *insertive* anal sex (inserting the penis into the anus, also known as topping) but two individual studies were conducted to calculate this risk. The first, published in 1999, calculated the risk to be 0.06% (equivalent to one transmission per 1,667 exposures). However, due to the design of the study, this number likely underestimated the risk of HIV transmission. The second study, published in 2010, was better designed and estimated the risk to be 0.11% (or 1 transmission per 909 exposures) for circumcised men and 0.62% (1 transmission per 161 exposures) for uncircumcised men.

**Vaginal sex**

A meta-analysis of 10 studies exploring the risk of transmission through vaginal sex was published in 2009. It estimated the risk of HIV transmission through *receptive* vaginal sex (receiving the penis in the vagina) to be 0.08% (equivalent to 1 transmission per 1,250 exposures).

A meta-analysis of three studies exploring the risk from *insertive* vaginal sex (inserting the penis into the vagina) was estimated to be 0.04% (equivalent to 1 transmission per 2,500 exposures).

**Oral sex**

No meta-analysis estimates exist for oral sex (vaginal or penile) because too few good-quality studies have been completed. This is because it is difficult to find people whose only risk of HIV transmission is unprotected oral sex. A review of the studies that are available was published in 2008 and concluded that vaginal and penile oral sex pose a “low but *non-zero* transmission probability.”

In the three studies aimed at calculating the risk of HIV transmission from one act of oral sex, no transmissions were observed among three different populations—lesbian serodiscordant couples, heterosexual serodiscordant couples and single gay men—who reported unprotected oral sex as their only risk for HIV transmission. However, these studies enrolled only a small number of people and followed them for only a short period of time, which may explain the lack of HIV transmissions and makes it impossible to conclude that the risk from oral sex is zero.

### Risk of HIV transmission from different types of unprotected sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of individual studies</th>
<th>Range of estimates</th>
<th>Meta-analysis estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receptive anal</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4%-3.38%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insertive anal</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.06%-0.62%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receptive vaginal</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.018%-0.150%</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insertive vaginal</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.03%-0.09%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interpreting the numbers—what additional information needs to be provided?**

Some clients may see these numbers and think their risk of HIV transmission is low. Therefore, caution is needed when interpreting them. If these numbers are provided to clients, they should be accompanied by information that helps shed light on why the risk may be higher than it seems.

**Transmission can occur after one exposure.**
It is important to emphasize that a person could become infected from having unprotected sex once or a person could have unprotected sex many times and not become infected, regardless of how low or high the risk per exposure is.

A risk of 1% would mean that an average of one infection would occur if 100 HIV-negative people were exposed to HIV through a certain type of sex. It does not mean that a person needs to be exposed 100 times for HIV infection to occur.

**These are estimates of average risk in the absence of biological factors that increase risk.**

The numbers in the table above are rough estimates. They are averages and do not represent the risk from all exposures to HIV through a certain type of sex.

We know that no two exposures to HIV are exactly the same. Research shows that, in addition to the type of sex that led to the exposure, several factors can increase or decrease the risk that an exposure to HIV leads to infection. These include the presence of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), a high viral load, a man being uncircumcised, a woman menstruating, other bleeding and activities that can cause tearing and inflammation, such as rough sex, longer sex, douching, enemas before anal sex, and tooth brushing, flossing or dental work before oral sex. Each exposure to HIV carries a unique risk of transmission that depends on the type of sex and a combination of biological factors.

The risk of HIV transmission may be much higher than these averages if biological risk factors are present. For example, research shows that STIs and some vaginal conditions, such as bacterial vaginosis, can increase the risk of HIV transmission by up to 8 times.\(^6\)\(^7\)\(^8\) As a result, the risk of an HIV-negative woman becoming infected through unprotected receptive vaginal sex could be closer to 1% (1 transmission per 100 exposures) if she has a vaginal STI.

We also know that for every 10-fold increase in viral load, the risk of HIV transmission increases by 2 to 3 times.\(^9\)\(^10\) Research suggests the extremely high viral load during acute HIV infection (the first few weeks after becoming infected with HIV) can increase the risk of HIV transmission by up to 26-fold.\(^11\)\(^12\) Therefore, unprotected sex with an HIV-positive person who has acute HIV infection could carry a transmission risk of up to 2% (the equivalent of 1 transmission per 50 exposures) for receptive vaginal sex and over 20% (equivalent to 1 transmission per 5 exposures) for receptive anal sex.

**The more exposures, the greater the risk.**

Although the risk of HIV transmission from a single exposure may seem low to some people, this risk increases over multiple exposures. In other words, a person who is exposed to HIV more often has a greater overall risk of HIV transmission than someone who is exposed less often.

If a woman has unprotected vaginal sex 100 times with a man who is HIV-positive, the cumulative risk is approximately 10% and may be higher if biological risk factors are present.

**Differences in risk**

Information on how risky certain types of unprotected sex are compared to others may help people make more informed decisions about the type of sex they are having.
Based on the meta-analysis estimates, we can draw several conclusions:

- **Receptive anal sex carries a much higher risk of HIV infection than receptive vaginal sex.** Research shows that the risk of HIV transmission from receptive anal sex is up to 18 times higher than from receptive vaginal sex.

- **Receptive anal sex is riskier than insertive anal sex.** Research suggests the risk of HIV transmission from receptive anal sex is 3 to 23 times higher than from insertive anal sex.

- **Receptive vaginal sex is riskier than insertive vaginal sex.** The risk from receptive vaginal sex is about twice as high as that from insertive vaginal sex.

- **It is unclear exactly how much less risky oral sex is compared to vaginal and anal sex.**

**Conclusion**

Although it’s impossible to provide a client with their exact risk of HIV transmission from an exposure, some studies have managed to estimate an average risk for different types of sex. It’s important to provide clients with additional information to help them interpret the findings. Here are some key messages:

1. **These numbers**
   - are challenging to calculate and should therefore be considered *rough estimates*
   - do not represent the risk of transmission from all exposures to HIV
   - represent the average risk of transmission in the absence of biological factors that can increase risk (such as STIs and a high viral load)
   - are most relevant to people in stable monogamous serodiscordant relationships

2. **These numbers may seem low but**
   - HIV transmission can occur after a single exposure
   - the risk may be much higher if certain biological risk factors, such as STIs or a high viral load, are present
   - as more exposures to HIV occur, the overall risk of transmission increases
   - most HIV transmissions in Canada occur through unprotected anal and vaginal sex

3. **There are several ways of reducing the risk of HIV transmission from an exposure,** such as post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP), using antiretroviral treatment to reduce viral load, circumcision, treatment for STIs and vaginal conditions, or engaging in lower-risk activities.

4. **There is no way to reduce the risk of HIV transmission to zero after an exposure occurs.** Taking measures to avoid an exposure in the first place (for example, through the correct use of condoms or other barrier methods, or by ensuring a partner has the same HIV status) can help reduce the overall risk of HIV transmission.
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Disclaimer

Decisions about particular medical treatments should always be made in consultation with a qualified medical practitioner knowledgeable about HIV- and hepatitis C-related illness and the treatments in question.

CATIE provides information resources to help people living with HIV and/or hepatitis C who wish to manage their own health care in partnership with their care providers. Information accessed through or published or provided by CATIE, however, is not to be considered medical advice. We do not recommend or advocate particular treatments and we urge users to consult as broad a range of sources as possible. We strongly urge users to consult with a qualified medical practitioner prior to undertaking any decision, use or action of a medical nature.

CATIE endeavours to provide the most up-to-date and accurate information at the time of publication. However, information changes and users are encouraged to ensure they have the most current information. Users relying solely on this information do so entirely at their own risk. Neither CATIE nor any of its partners or funders, nor any of their employees, directors, officers or volunteers may be held liable for damages of any kind that may result from the use or misuse of any such information. Any opinions expressed herein or in any article or publication accessed or published or provided by CATIE may not reflect the policies or opinions of CATIE or any partners or funders.

Information on safer drug use is presented as a public health service to help people make healthier choices to reduce the spread of HIV, viral hepatitis and other infections. It is not intended to encourage or promote the use or possession of illegal drugs.
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